



CBSO Pension Scheme (‘Scheme’)

5th April 2023 Implementation
Statement

October 2023

Schroders' Solutions Disclaimer:

The Implementation Statement is a regulatory requirement under the 2018 changes to the Occupational Pension Schemes (Investment) Regulations 2005. It is important that the Trustee of the Scheme understand and consider financially material Environmental, Social and Governance ("ESG") factors and consider its own stewardship obligations. A failure to do this puts the Trustee at risk of breaching your legal duties.

This is a Trustee document and the Trustee must review the Implementation Statement draft, provided by its investment adviser, and confirm that they have considered the content prepared and reviewed any associated documentation such as voting policies.

1. Introduction

The Trustee is required to make publicly available online a statement (“the Implementation Statement”) covering the CBSO Pension Scheme (‘Scheme’) in relation to the Scheme’s Statement of Investment Principles (the “SIP”).

The SIP came into force on 27 September 2020 and was updated over the last 3 years to account for ESG considerations.

A copy of the current SIP can be found here: : <https://cbsocbsopensionscheme>

This Implementation Statement covers the Scheme year from 6 April 2022 to 5 April 2023 (the “Scheme Year”). It sets out:

- Trustee policies on exercising voting rights and engagement have been followed over the Scheme Year; and
- The voting by or on behalf of the Trustee during the Scheme Year, including the most significant votes cast and any use of a proxy voter during the Scheme Year.

A new set of guidance (“the Guidance”) from the Department for Work and Pensions (“DWP”) has been issued with a series of statutory & non-statutory guidance. They aim to encourage the Trustee of the Scheme to properly exercise their stewardship policy including both voting and engagement which is documented in the Scheme’s SIP. This Implementation Statement has been prepared to provide the details on how the Trustee of the Scheme, with the help of the Scheme’s Fiduciary Manager, has complied with the new statutory guidance set by DWP

A copy of this Implementation Statement is available on the following website: : <https://cbsocbsopensionscheme>

2. How the Trustee policies on exercising voting rights and engagements have been followed over the Scheme Year

The Trustee uses the Fiduciary Management service of Schroders IS Limited as their Investment Manager and Adviser (it is referred to as the "Fiduciary Manager" in the Implementation Statement). Schroders Group, a global asset manager, has a long history of engagement and active ownership, dating back to 1998 when it appointed its first governance resource, and has recorded and monitored ESG engagements since then.

- Signatory to the UK Stewardship code
- A+ rating for UN Principles for Responsible Investment
- A- rating for Carbon Disclosure Project
- Advanced ESG recognition from Morningstar
- Engagement Blueprint awarded ESG Engagement Initiative of the Year at Environmental Finance's Sustainable Investment Awards 2022
- Best Investor Engagement recognition from IR Society Best Practice Award for 2021

The Fiduciary Manager can appoint other investment managers to manage part of the Scheme's assets (these are referred to as "Underlying Investment Managers"). The Scheme invests in some assets with voting rights attached (e.g. equities) and with engagement possible in relation to most asset classes. Whilst the Trustee has delegated responsibility to the Fiduciary Manager and Underlying Managers for voting and engaging on its behalf, the Trustee regularly reviews the approach and stewardship policies of the Fiduciary Manager to ensure they are aligned with the Trustee's beliefs and objectives.

A copy of the Scheme's SIP has been provided to the Fiduciary Manager, and the Fiduciary Manager is expected to follow the Trustee's investment policies when providing Fiduciary Management services. However, given that the investments with the Underlying Investment Managers are generally made via pooled funds (where the Scheme's investments are pooled with those of other investors), the Fiduciary Manager does not have direct control over voting or engaging with the companies that issue the underlying securities. This process lies with the Underlying Investment Manager, who may have different engagement priorities than the Trustee. Therefore, the Trustee requires the Fiduciary Manager to integrate stewardship activities such as voting and engagement, and Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) factors including climate change, into the selection or monitoring of Underlying Investment Managers. The Trustee believes it is appropriate to delegate the decision of appointing and monitoring Underlying Investment Managers to the Fiduciary Manager, who will be able to influence the Underlying Investment Manager's voting and engagement policies. Consequently, the Trustee can largely exercise their stewardship policy as set out in the Scheme's SIP.

The Trustee has aligned its stewardship priorities with the Fiduciary Managers' Engagement Blueprint (which sets out the six engagement themes the Scheme's Fiduciary Manager believes to be most financially material), given the Trustee believes that these themes are issues material to the long-term value of the investments. These issues also reflect expectations and trends across a range of stakeholders, and by strengthening relationships with these stakeholders, business models become more sustainable, which ultimately should enhance the value added to the Scheme's investment, and hence benefit the Scheme's members and beneficiaries. Therefore the Trustee believes that companies that address those issues, when they are material and relevant, will drive improved financial performance for the Scheme.

On behalf of the Trustee, the Fiduciary Manager carried out regular investment and operational due diligence on the Underlying Investment Managers to monitor voting and engagement policies concerning the Scheme's investments. Additionally, with the help of the Fiduciary Manager, the Trustee monitors the performance of the Underlying Investment Managers against the agreed performance objectives at Trustee meetings held during the Scheme Year. Over the Scheme Year, the Fiduciary Manager also provided the Trustee with quarterly monitoring of the ESG characteristics of the portfolio, including TCFD ("Taskforce for climate-related financial disclosures") carbon metrics. The Trustee is satisfied with the Fiduciary Manager's activity in this area.

Given the activities carried out during the Scheme Year and by preparing this Implementation Statement, the Trustee believes that it has acted in accordance with the DWP Guidance over the Scheme Year.

3. Voting and Engagement Summary

On behalf of the Trustee, the Fiduciary Manager exercises voting rights in relation to the pooled funds managed by the Underlying Investment Managers, in line with its voting policy.

Most voting rights and engagement regarding the Scheme’s investments relate to underlying securities within these pooled funds. At a general meeting of a company, the Underlying Investment Managers exercise voting rights and engage with the company issuing the security in line with their policies, which the Fiduciary Manager may have influenced. Nonetheless, the pooled funds themselves often confer certain rights around voting or policies, which the Fiduciary Manager exercises on behalf of the Trustee, and we cover these here.

Over the year to 31 March 2023, the Fiduciary Manager engaged with Underlying Investment Managers regarding clients’ pooled fund investments on 95 resolutions across 23 meetings. The Fiduciary Manager voted against management on 4 resolutions which was 4.2% of total resolutions, and abstained on 4 resolutions (4.2% of the total resolutions). The engagement topics covered a range of areas, including executive board composition, investment management processes, fund documentation, auditor tenure and fund costs.

Within the Scheme’s portfolio, the **BNYM Global Equity Fund** makes up the majority of the Scheme’s investments in equity assets, with equity being the only asset class to hold voting rights. The Trustee reviewed the BNYM semi-annual proxy voting reports (links included in Appendix) and noted that BNYM prioritised stewardship with each of their underlying holdings on areas broadly in line with Schroders Solutions’ engagement themes.

In relation to the liability hedging, and structured equity mandates, the Trustee noted that the choice of counterparty (both in terms of the counterparties chosen to be part of the available roster and the choice of which counterparty of these to use when entering into derivative transactions) is driven by several factors including credit ratings which take into account ESG factors, and ESG scores for counterparties are regularly monitored.

The Trustee has considered the voting statistics and behaviour set out in this Implementation Statement, along with engagement activity that took place on their behalf during the Scheme Year within the growth asset portfolio, and the liability hedging portfolio, and is pleased to report that the Fiduciary Manager and the Underlying Investment Managers have demonstrated high levels of voting and engagement in line with its stewardship policy.

Specifically, the Trustee noted that:

- The Fiduciary Manager has carried out a high level of engagement activities with the Underlying Investment Managers, and some good progress has been achieved such that many of the Underlying Investment Managers’ ESG credentials have improved over the Scheme Year.
- Each manager demonstrated very high levels of voting rights being acted on, where voting is relevant. Where the voting was irrelevant, the Underlying Investment Managers showed they carried out a good level of engagement activity over the Scheme Year.
- Challenge to management was demonstrated through votes by the Underlying Investment Managers against management.
- In this Implementation Statement, the Trustee considered relevant examples in relation to its own stewardship priorities. Examples are provided in the appendix.
- As the Trustee refined its stewardship priorities this year, it considers the most significant votes to be those that both relate to these priorities and are defined as significant by the Underlying Managers (of the most material holdings) based on their specific knowledge of the circumstances around each vote.

Summary Voting Statistics - The Fiduciary Manager uses c.30 Underlying Managers; however, the equity holdings are the only asset class with voting rights. Below are the voting statistics for the most material equity funds held on behalf of the Trustee that had voting rights during the period.

BNYM Global	Vanguard FTSE	Vanguard FTSE	Morgan Stanley	Ninety One Global	Morant Wright Fuji	Fundsmith Equity Fund
-------------	---------------	---------------	----------------	-------------------	--------------------	-----------------------

	Equity Fund	Developed Markets ETF	Emerging Markets ETF	Global Brands	Strategy Fund	Yield Japanese Fund	
Total meetings eligible to vote	926	2,354	4,534	32	26	60	26
Total resolutions eligible to vote	11,723	30,205	38,708	490	331	808	429
% of resolutions did you vote on for which you were eligible?	93%	98%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% did vote with management?	93%	96%	90%	89%	94%	89%	91%
% vote against management?	7%	3%	9%	11%	4%	11%	9%
% abstained	0%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	1%
% of resolutions, on which you did vote, did you vote contrary to the recommendation of your proxy adviser? (if applicable)	0%	0%	0%	8%	<i>Data not provided</i>	N/A	N/A

Note:

- BNYM, NinetyOne and Morgan Stanley use Institutional Shareholder Services, “ISS”, for proxy voting services.
- Vanguard Investment Stewardship uses the Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS) Proxy Exchange platform for the execution of their votes.
- The voting statistics provided may slightly differ depending on the exact composition the Scheme holds.
- BNYM have included votes withheld in votes abstained (in order to be in line with the PLSA template which other managers have used), although there are differences between votes withheld and votes abstained.
- Figures may not total 100% due to a variety of reasons, such as lack of management recommendation, scenarios where an agenda has been split voted, multiple ballots for the same meeting were voted different ways, or a vote of “Abstain” is also considered a vote against management.
- **The Trustee is satisfied that the voting and engagement activity undertaken by the Fiduciary Manager and Underlying Investment Managers was in line with Trustee policies contained in the SIP and that no changes are required to these policies at this time. The Trustee will keep the position under review.**

Appendix 1 – Engagement Examples

1. Engagement by the Fiduciary Manager (Schroders IS) in relation to underlying pooled funds held on behalf of the Trustee

In addition to the voting and engagement outlined in section 3 above, over the Scheme Year, the Fiduciary Manager also:

- engaged with the core credit manager, Neuberger Berman, regarding some particularly high emitting companies within the fund that was leading to higher than benchmark carbon footprint metrics;
- engaged with significant Underlying Investment Managers (in particular, BNYM) on the quality of its voting and engagement as the Fiduciary Manager was not satisfied with the quality of data previously provided.

2. Examples of voting and engagement carried out by the Underlying Managers

Engagement Theme	Manager	Examples
------------------	---------	----------

Human Capital Management	Vanguard	J Sainsbury Plc
Diversity & Inclusion	BNY Mellon	PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.
Corporate governance	Neuberger Berman	Boeing

Climate Change – Health & Happiness

T. Rowe Price, one of the credit managers, had three objectives for their engagement of Health and Happiness (H&H). One was to request more details on their decarbonization journey, specifically a strategy for the firm to reduce the footprint of dairy cows in their supply chain. The Manager also wanted a timeline for full emission reporting, and lastly an update on progress towards achieving B-Corp Certification.

The following topics were discussed:

1. Continuous progress in decarbonization – T. Rowe Price believes that H&H has made some good progress but still does not provide full disclosure on its group-wide scope 1-3 emissions and is still looking to set a net zero target.
2. B-Corp Certification – H&H is confident that they are on track to achieve Group-wide B-Corp Certification by the end of 2025 with clear plan and milestone set.
3. Annual investment to support farmers in France since 2013 – H&H has been doing this to ensure ongoing sustainable supply of dairy products and lower carbon impact.

As a result of the engagement, T. Rowe Price imparted their views on best practices and asked that within the next 2 years the company would disclose its group-wide scope 1-3 emissions data and set net zero targets; and continue to work towards achieving B-Corp Certification.

Natural Capital & Biodiversity – Archer-Daniels-Midland

In May 2022, BNY Mellon supported a shareholder proposal requesting a report explaining if and how the company is measuring its use of pesticides that cause harm to human health and the environment in its agricultural supply chains. Archer-Daniels-Midland does not currently provide targets on pesticide use when many of their peers do. In instances where supply chain concerns could pose a material risk to a company, Mellon prefer that companies be as transparent as possible in disclosing their processes and data around managing this risk. The proposal did not pass, and the manager will continue to encourage Archer-Daniels-Midland to disclose more information on how the company is managing supply chain risks around this topic.

Human Rights – Nike, Inc.

This engagement example outlines Morgan Stanley’s follow up to a shareholder proposal concerning supply chain issues that was tabled at Nike’s 2021 AGM.

Morgan Stanley voted in favour of the shareholder proposal, against management and ISS recommendations. ISS (the Proxy Exchange platform used for the execution of Stanley’s votes) suggested voting against the shareholder proposal as they felt the company provided sufficient disclosure related to its human rights policies and sustainable sourcing practices, and that the company was not lagging its peers in terms of human rights disclosure. However the Manager chose to support the proposal as they believed it was important to apply pressure on a subject that posed a large supply chain risk and where information was scarce. Morgan Stanley then engaged further on the subject with the company, pressing them for information on their cotton sourcing policy, and any progress they had made on the traceability of the cotton they used.

Nike stated their commitment to not sourcing from Xinjiang, and outlined the actions they had taken with their suppliers regarding sourcing. They shared that they were actively working on tools to verify suppliers’ claims on sourcing, adding two senior positions within the firm. Morgan Stanley consider this evidence that the shareholder resolution on the social risks of cotton sourcing – despite not passing – has led to positive changes. The Manager strongly encouraged the company to look into working with a sustainable cotton NGO that offers traceability and a company providing a new technology helping verify the origin of raw materials. Since this engagement, Morgan Stanley have continued to follow up on the subject of supply chain management with the company.

Human Capital Management - J Sainsbury Plc

At the annual meeting on 7 July 2022, Vanguard funds did not support a shareholder proposal directing the company to become accredited by the Living Wage Foundation, an organisation that sets out a framework for pay linked to a regional cost-of-living assessment. The proposal received 17% support from shareholders.

Vanguard has engaged over several years with the Sainsbury's board and executive management. Vanguard's recent discussions included the board's oversight of HCM and its role in navigating the cost-of-living crisis with respect to stakeholders, including its workforce and customers. The proposal in question directed the company to be accredited as a Living Wage Employer by July 2023. The resolution further asked the company to conduct an analysis (also by July 2023) of third-party contractors that earn below the real Living Wage and to work with external partners to increase all subcontracted workers to the real Living Wage rate by 2026. In assessing this shareholder proposal, Vanguard sought to understand the company's current practices, including its disclosure of the board's oversight framework for these issues. Vanguard observed that Sainsbury's pay practices met or were above the real Living Wage. In addition, a majority of its outsourced employees were paid a living wage. Beyond direct pay, Sainsbury's reviewed and improved other employee benefits.

Vanguard reviewed the implications of signing up to an independent external pay benchmark when Sainsbury's has already made commitments involving wages that include factoring in the real Living Wage, the National Living Wage, and benchmarking pay competitively to peers annually. The company operates in a sector where margins are low and workforce pay is a key cost consideration. Vanguard determined that the proposal's requests (which were binding) were too prescriptive and that the setting of wages should fall under the company's operational decisions, which are best left to the board and executive management. Additionally, through ongoing dialogue with the company, Vanguard did not conclude that the proposal addressed a material gap or failure of oversight by the board.

Diversity & Inclusion – The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.

In August 2022, BNY Mellon met with representatives of The PNC Financial Services Group, including the SVP for Stakeholder Engagement for ESG, the Deputy General Counsel of Corporate Governance, and Investor Relations.

PNC has hired its first Chief Corporate Responsibility Officer with a future goal of eliminating systemic racism. As a result of the 2021 Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria (BBVA) acquisition, the company's original financial commitment to this goal has turned into a much larger commitment. The PNC Financial Services Group is committed to affordable housing action, enhanced community development and support for minority-owned small businesses. During this engagement, the manager encouraged PNC to continue to maintain annual updates on the ongoing monetary commitment made to these areas.

Corporate Governance – Boeing

Neuberger Berman, one of the credit managers, have spent 4 years engaging with Boeing following MSCI assigned Boeing a Very Severe Controversy Flag which put the company in the manager's engagement priority list. Neuberger Berman communicated with the issuer on concerns related to product safety of its 737 Max aircraft following two disasters that resulted in the deaths of passengers and crew and engaged with the company on their internal risk controls, oversight procedures, and governance structure given the revelation of design flaws with the 737 Max and inadequate attempts by the company to address the issue.

The engagement process was led by credit analyst within the team and included 13 discussions over a period of 4 years with the senior management including the CFO, Treasurer, and Investor Relations team. The issues raised included Boeing's risk controls, lack of oversight and inadequate governance structure. While the initial actions taken by Boeing were not always adequate, through manager's continued engagements, Boeing has addressed our concerns regarding its governance and risk controls.

Neuberger Berman consider this engagement was a successful example as Boeing made the following changes:

- Boeing Improved its safety oversight standards through the creation of the independently managed "Aerospace Safety Committee" with responsibility to oversee and ensure the safe design, development, manufacture, production, operation, maintenance and delivery of aerospace products and services.
- Implemented an enterprise-wide Safety Management System "SMS" and established a Quality Management System "QMS" to fully embed safety and quality across total production process
 - Named a new chief aerospace safety officer with accountability to Boeing's Aerospace Safety Committee and created 4 operations councils overseeing all BA manufacturing, quality, supply chain and program management teams.
- Executive compensation changed with an increased focus on operational performance tied to product safety, employee safety, quality along with climate area.

Neuberger Berman will continue future engagements to address additional improvements that can and should strengthen BA's product safety and risk oversight systems. While the manager has and will continue to raise concerns regarding greater risk oversight procedures, ultimately the changes implemented by Boeing along with design improvements allowed the 737 Max to be recertified globally.

Appendix 2 – ESG, Voting and Engagement Policies

Links to the voting and engagement policies for both Investment Manager and Underlying Investment Managers of the Scheme’s largest holdings can be found here:

Investment Manager & Underlying Investment Manager	Voting & Engagement Policy
Schroders Solutions	schroders-esg-policy.pdf https://www.schroders.com/en/sysglobalassets/about-us/schroders-engagement-blueprint-2022-1.pdf
Bank of New York Mellon	<p>BNYM’s voting and engagement policies are included in their annual Mellon proxy voting report which can be found in the link below:</p> <p>https://www.mellon.com/insights/insights-articles/2022-semi-annual-proxy-voting-report.html</p> <p>https://www.mellon.com/insights/insights-articles/proxy-voting-report-spring-2023.html</p>
Vanguard	https://corporate.vanguard.com/content/dam/corp/research/pdf/Global%20investment%20stewardship%20principles_final_112021.pdf
Morant Wright	https://www.morantwright.co.uk/sites/default/files/policies/voting_policy_2023.pdf
Morgan Stanley	https://www.morganstanley.com/im/publication/resources/proxyvotingpolicy_msim_en.pdf?1615985960657
Ninety One	https://ninetyone.com/-/media/documents/stewardship/91-stewardship-policy-and-proxy-voting-guidelines-en.pdf
Fundsmith	https://www.fundsmith.co.uk/media/swxplrtrk/responsible-investment-policy.pdf
Leadenhall	https://www.leadenhallcp.com/esg
Neuberger Berman	https://www.nb.com/en/global/esg/engagement